Acta Cryst. (1990). C46, 2054–2057

Structure of a Copper(II) Chloride–Nicotine Complex: Hexa- μ -chloro- μ_4 -oxo-tetrakis[(nicotine)copper(II)]*

BY HELMUT M. HAENDLER

Department of Chemistry, University of New Hampshire, Durham, New Hampshire 03824-3598, USA

(Received 23 January 1990; accepted 2 February 1990)

Abstract. $[Cu_4Cl_6O(C_{10}H_{14}N_2)_4], M_r = 1131.8, \text{mono-}$ clinic, $P2_1$, a = 13.117(3), b = 13.050(3), c =14.067 (3) Å, $\beta = 92.50$ (2)°, V = 2405.6 (9) Å, Z = 2, $D_x = 1.562, \quad D_m = 1.55 \text{ (1) Mg m}^{-3}, \quad \lambda(\text{Mo } K\alpha) = 0.71073 \text{ Å}, \quad \mu = 2.18 \text{ mm}^{-1}, \quad F(000) = 1156, \quad T = 0.71073 \text{ K}$ 293 (1) K, R = 0.048 for 2910 reflections. Each of the two asymmetric units consists of a central cluster containing an O atom, four Cu atoms, and six Cl atoms, with four nicotine molecules attached to the Cu atoms via the N atoms of the six-membered heterocyclic rings. The Cu atoms are tetrahedrally oriented about the O atom and are bridged by the six Cl atoms, which are approximately octahedral with respect to the cluster center. The Cu and coordinated N atoms lie along directions which correspond to four of the eight threefold axial directions of a regular octahedron. Each Cu atom lies at the center of a trigonal bipyramid, with the O atom and the nicotine N atom in the axial positions and three Cl atoms in the equatorial positions. Average distances are: Cu-N, 1.977 (10); Cu-O, 1.908 (8); Cu-Cl, 2.396 (4); Cu-Cu, 3.115 (2) Å. The configuration of the nicotine ligands is that of the *trans* diastereomer.

Introduction. Numerous adducts of alkaloids with metal salts have been reported, especially in the older literature. For example, nicotine [3-(1-methyl-2-pyrrolidinyl)pyridine] was reported to form molecular complexes with copper(II) chloride (Babak & Kagramanova, 1954; Prasad & Sharma, 1958). Published results were not always in agreement, but a simple stoichiometry, CuCl₂.nicotine, could be assumed. The elucidation of the structure of a representative sample became a matter of interest.

Experimental. The complex was formed by addition of an aqueous solution of nicotine to an acetone solution of copper(II) chloride dihydrate. The red-orange precipitate was recrystallized by slow evaporation of a chloroform solution. Density was measured by flotation in dichloromethane/carbon tetrachloride. Analysis: calculated for $C_{40}H_{56}$ -

0108-2701/90/112054-04\$03.00

 $Cl_6Cu_4N_8O$: C 42·45; Cl 18·5; H 4·99; N 9·90%; found: C42·19: Cl 19·5; H 4·97; N 9·78%.

The reflection intensities of a dark brown crystal (size not recorded) were measured at the Department of Chemistry, University of Texas, Austin, on an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer. Data reduction to the final intensity file was carried out at Enraf-Nonius, Bohemia, NY. Cell dimensions from setting angles of 25 reflections. 4616 reflections measured in P1, 42.9 h exposure time, two intensity standards measured every hour, 0.6% decay, no linear decay correction. Converted to monoclinic cell, no evidence of further cell reduction. ψ scan for empirical absorption correction, transmission factors 0.65–1.00. Maximum $\sin\theta/\lambda = 0.594 \text{ Å}^{-1}$. Systematically absent reflections were rejected and the data set averaged for symmetry related reflections; 4420 remaining reflections, 1260 unobserved with I > $3\sigma(I)$; h = 15 to 15, k 0 to 15, l 0 to 16.

Intensity statistics strongly indicated $P2_1/m$ as the probable space group, and the composition of the complex was assumed to be $CuCl_2.C_{10}H_{14}N_2$, Z = 8, in accord with earlier reports. Attempts to solve the complicated Patterson map were unsuccessful, and trials with direct methods suggested that high symmetry of the copper chloride moiety was strongly affecting solution techniques. In the meantime, the program NRCVAX (Gabe, Lee & LePage, 1985) had been implemented and was used to generate an Emap in $P2_1$ on which four symmetry unrelated Cu atoms were identified. 55 additional non-H atoms were located by successive passes of the DIRDIF system (Beurskens et al., 1985). Only six of the eight postulated Cl atoms of the asymmetric unit could be identified as such on the Fourier map. Peak heights associated with the remaining two atoms indicated that these were O atoms, located approximately at the centers of the two tetrahedra of Cu atoms. The original assumed composition was incorrect; the complex is $Cu_4Cl_6O(C_{10}H_{14}N_2)_4$, Z = 2, and the refinement was continued on this basis.

Least-squares refinement on F, block-diagonal approximation, isotropic and anisotropic thermal parameters. The positions of the 20 H atoms of the

© 1990 International Union of Crystallography

^{*} Nicotine is 3-(1-methyl-2-pyrrolidinyl)pyridine.

Cu(1)

Cu(2)

Cu(3) Cu(4)

Cl(1) Cl(2)

Cl(3)

Cl(4) Cl(5)

CI(6)

O(1) N(1)

N(2)

N(3) N(4)

N(5) N(6)

N(7)

N(8) C(10)

C(11)

C(12) C(13)

C(14) C(15) C(16)

C(17) C(18)

C(19)

C(20) C(21)

C(22)

C(23) C(24)

C(25) C(26) C(27)

C(28) C(29)

C(30)

C(31) C(32) C(33) C(34)

C(35)

C(36) C(37)

C(38)

C(39) C(40)

C(41)

C(42) C(43)

C(44)

C(45) C(46)

C(47)

C(48)

C(49)

pyridine rings were calculated: the remainder, except for those of the methyl groups, were located on a difference Fourier map or by superposition of a model on a scaled projection. H atoms of the CH₁ groups could not be identified. Final block-diagonal refinement, with H atoms fixed, using 2910 reflections (242 low-intensity reflections with $\Delta F/F > 0.3$ and eight reflections of low θ with $|F_{e}| \ll |F_{e}|$ omitted), anomalous dispersion for Cu. and B =5.0 Å² for H atoms, converged at R = 0.048, w = $1/\sigma^2(F_o)$, wR = 0.038. $(\Delta/\sigma)_{av} = 0.11$, $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} =$ 0.54, S = 1.65 with 531 variables. Final difference map, with $\Delta F(000) = 12$, showed max. and min. peaks of 0.77 and $-0.81 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$. No systematic errors as functions of $\sin\theta$ or F_{a} . Atomic scattering factors from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). National Research Council of Canada programs (Ahmed, 1973) used for most refinement calculations; ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976) for the thermal-ellipsoid plots.

Discussion. Final positional parameters of non-H atoms are given in Table 1,* and principal bond distances and angles in Table 2. The asymmetric molecule is shown in projection in Fig. 1; the thermal-ellipsoid plots of the central cluster and one of the nicotine ligands in Fig. 2. H atoms are omitted for clarity.

The molecule has an O atom at the center of a tetrahedron of Cu atoms, which is itself centered in a slightly distorted octahedron of Cl atoms. This octahedron is in turn surrounded tetrahedrally by the four pyridine N atoms of the nicotine ligands, in parallel orientation with the Cu tetrahedron. The Cu atoms are bridged by the six Cl atoms. The net effect is to place each Cu atom at the center of a quasitrigonal bipyramid (t.b.p.); the four bipyramids have six edges in common. The central O atom and the pyridine N atoms are in the axial positions, the bridging Cl atoms in equatorial positions. This type of cluster structure was first reported by Bertrand & Kelley (1966) and Bertrand (1967) in Cu₄Cl₆O(TPPO)₄; by Kilbourn & Dunitz (1967) in Cu₄Cl₆O(py)₄; by Bertrand & Kelley (1969) in $[(CH_3)_4N_4Cu_4OCl_{10}]$; and by Gill & Sterns (1970) in $Cu_4Cl_6O(2-mepy)_4$. Additional structures have been reported since and the references to these are given in the comprehensive paper of Norman, Rose & Stenkamp (1989), which also reports the structure of

Fable	1. Fractional	atomic	coordinates	and	equival	ent
	isotrop	ic temp	erature facto	ors		

$\boldsymbol{B}_{eq} = (8\pi^2/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_j \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$							
x	<i>y</i> †	Z	$B_{eq}(Å^2)$				
0.66536 (10)	0.30602 (12)	0.19019 (9)	2.97				
0.81601 (13)	0.48854 (13)	0.17154 (9)	2.85				
0.65962 (10)	0.48830 (13)	0.33245 (9)	2.86				
0.84194 (10)	0.33560 (11)	0.34199 (9)	2.97				
0.5236 (2)	0.3868 (3)	0.2654 (2)	4.51				
0.7422 (3)	0.3801 (3)	0.0540 (2)	4.79				
0.7642 (2)	0.4358 (2)	0.4651 (2)	3.64				
0.9668 (2)	0.4255 (3)	0.2212 (2)	5.08				
0.7582 (3)	0.1870 (2)	0.2872 (2)	5.48				
0.7202 (2)	0.6293 (2)	0.2317 (2)	4.09				
0.7466 (5)	0.4088 (5)	0.2599 (4)	2.22				
0.5893 (7)	0.2080 (7)	0.1123 (6)	3.57				
0.8923 (7)	0.5815 (7)	0.0849 (6)	3.98				
0-5696 (7)	0 ·5848 (7)	0.4025 (6)	3.41				
0.9420 (7)	0.2730 (7)	0.4302 (6)	3.34				
0-7522 (8)	-0·0445 (9)	0.0158 (8)	6.77				
0.8336 (10)	0.5918 (10)	<i>−</i> 0·2084 (6)	7.56				
0.3197 (8)	0.7454 (8)	0.2690 (7)	5.19				
1.2114 (8)	0.4118 (8)	0.5628 (7)	5.28				
0.6426 (9)	0.1329 (9)	0.0716 (8)	3.82				
0.5973 (8)	0.6420 (9)	0.0062 (8)	3.52				
0.4956 (11)	0.0698 (12)	- 0.0160 (10)	6.16				
0.4419 (11)	0.1489 (12)	0.0243 (11)	7 ⋅86				
0.4869 (10)	0.2157 (11)	0.0868 (10)	6.06				
0.6598 (9)	-0.0197 (12)	<i>−</i> 0·0374 (8)	5.19				
0.7011 (12)	0.0161 (14)	-0.1358 (11)	8.67				
0.8003 (14)	-0·0128 (21)	-0.1342 (16)	16.36				
0.8337 (12)	-0.0721 (14)	- 0.0206 (12)	9.81				
0.7378 (17)	- 0.1166 (14)	0.0910 (12)	11.84				
0.8875 (9)	0.5720 (9)	-0.0086 (7)	3.43				
0.9421 (9)	0.6328 (10)	- 0.0690 (9)	4.72				
1.0075 (10)	0.7061(9)	-0.0305 (9)	4.51				
1.0113 (10)	0.7139(9)	0.0688 (9)	5.14				
0.9354 (10)	0.027(9)	0.1268 (9)	4.38				
0.9341 (13)	0.6224(12)	- 0.1/44 (9)	/ 00				
0.9999 (14)	0.3307 (10)	-0.2093(11)	10.00				
0.9490 (14)	0.4923 (10)	-0.2947(11) -0.3002(0)	8.25				
0.7522 (17)	0.5522(15)	= 0.3002(9) = 0.3040(12)	0.23				
0.5001 (8)	0.6570 (0)	0.3520 (8)	3.57				
0.4518 (9)	0.7236 (9)	0.3960 (8)	4.10				
0.4502 (9)	0.7276 (10)	0.4938 (8)	4.47				
0.5094 (9)	0.6582(10)	0.5460 (8)	4.53				
0.5671(9)	0.5886 (10)	0.4993 (7)	3.99				
0.3928 (10)	0.7995 (11)	0.3308 (8)	5.87				
0.4605 (12)	0.8626(12)	0.2625(10)	7.56				
0.4005 (12)	0.8617(15)	0.1723(10)	9.94				
0.3021 (11)	0.8134 (13)	0.1895 (9)	7.51				
0.2258(12)	0.7104 (13)	0.3166 (11)	7.69				
1.0355 (8)	0.3133 (9)	0.4465 (8)	3.52				
1.1079 (8)	0.2682 (9)	0.5076 (8)	3.72				
1.0828 (10)	0 1785 (10)	0.5525 (8)	4·77				
0.9908 (10)	0.1367 (11)	0.5359 (8)	5-22				
0.9224 (10)	0.1849 (10)	0.4775 (8)	4.30				
1.2152 (10)	0 3145 (10)	0.5150 (8)	5.17				
1 2630 (10)	0.3430 (14)	0.4196 (9)	7.10				
1.3156 (16)	0.4463 (15)	0.4321 (13)	12.77				
1.3086 (11)	0.4589 (13)	0.5413 (13)	7.84				
1.2059 (12)	0.4005 (10)	0.6655 (10)	6.48				

 \dagger In this space group atoms may be shifted freely along the *b* axis.

the 1-methylimidazole complex and discusses the variations in bond distances and angles that have been observed. The amount of distortion from the symmetric t.b.p. (as in the triphenylphosphine oxide complex) varies, presumably dependent on the symmetry and packing requirements of the ligands, unequal bond energies (O vs the ligand bonding atom) and intermolecular effects.

In the nicotine complex there is little indication of displacement towards a rectangular pyramid. The average of the axial O—Cu—N angles is $175.2 (3)^{\circ}$; that of the equatorial Cl—Cu—Cl angles is

^{*} Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters, H-atom parameters, ring-bond distances and angles not in Table 2, planes data, dihedral and torsion angles and a stereoview have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 53025 (60 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

Table 2. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°)

C(1) C(2)	2.112 (2)	$C_{1}(2)$ $C_{2}(3)$	3,120 (2)
Cu(1) - Cu(2)	5-115 (2)		5 120 (2)
Cu(1)—Cu(3) 3	3-112 (2)	Cu(2)—Cu(4)	3.127 (2)
Cu(1)—Cu(4)	3-105 (2)	Cu(3)—Cu(4)	3-111 (2)
$c_{\rm v}({\rm h}-{\rm c}({\rm h}))$	2.421 (3)	സര്ഘസ്	2-383 (4)
	2 40((2)		2 268 (2)
$Cu(1) \rightarrow Cl(2)$	2.406 (3)	$Cu(3) \rightarrow Cl(3)$	2.308 (3)
Cu(1)—Cl(5) 2	2.369 (4)	Cu(3)—Cl(6)	2.475 (3)
റസ്_റസ്	1.951 (7)	000	1.876 (7)
			2012(0)
$Cu(I) \rightarrow N(I)$	1.933 (9)	$Cu(3) \rightarrow N(3)$	2.013 (9)
Cu(2)—Cl(2) 2	2-352 (4)	Cu(4)—Cl(3)	2.430 (3)
പറ്റ്പറിക്	0.377 (A)	$C_{1}(4) - C_{1}(4)$	2.423 (4)
			2 242 (4)
Cu(2) - Cl(6)	2.401 (4)	Cu(4) - Cl(3)	2.343 (4)
Cu(2)—O(1)	1.886 (7)	Cu(4)—O(1)	1.919 (7)
$C_{1}(2) = N(2)$	2-016 (9)	(u(4) - N(4))	1.946 (9)
		$\mathcal{L}(q)$	1 262 (14)
N(1)-C(10)	1.346 (15)	N(3) - C(30)	1.302 (14)
N(1)—C(14)	1.379 (16)	N(3)—C(34)	1.364 (13)
ດບໍ່ມີ	1.514 (18)	$C(3) \rightarrow C(35)$	1.536 (18)
		C(25) $N(7)$	1 440 (16)
C(15) - N(5)	1.433 (16)	C(35) - N(7)	1.449 (16)
N(5)—C(18)	1.494 (20)	N(7)—C(38)	1.438 (18)
N(5)-C(19)	1.434 (21)	N(7)-C(39)	1.499 (18)
N(2) = C(20)		N(4) = C(40)	1.245 (14)
N(2) - C(20)	1.320 (14)	N(4)—C(40)	1.343 (14)
N(2)—C(24)	1-361 (15)	N(4)—C(44)	1.359 (15)
C(21) - C(25)	1.488 (17)	C(41) - C(45)	1.531 (17)
$C(2f) \rightarrow V(f)$	420 (21)	C(45) $N(8)$	1.420 (16)
U(23) - N(0)	1.439 (21)	C(45)—N(8)	1.439 (10)
N(6)-C(28)	1.411 (16)	N(8)-C(48)	1.459 (18)
N(6)-C(29)	1.470 (24)	N(8)-C(49)	1.457 (17)
			2.004 (9)
$U(I) \rightarrow U(I)$	2.944 (8)	O(1) - CI(4)	2.904 (8)
O(1) - Cl(2)	2.919 (7)	O(1)—Cl(5)	2.923 (8)
O(1) - C(3)	2.907 (7)	0(1)	2.923 (7)
0(1) 01(3)		0(1) 0.(0)	2,20 (.)
Cu(2)—Cu(1)—Cu(3)) 60.17 (4)	Cu(1)—Cu(3)—C	u(2) 59·94 (4)
$C_{1}(2) - C_{1}(1) - C_{1}(4)$	60.38 (4)	Cu(1) - Cu(3) - C	u(4) 59.87 (4)
$O_{1}(2)$ $O_{2}(1)$ $O_{3}(2)$	60.06 (4)	Cu(2) Cu(2) C	u(A) 60.22 (A)
	00.08 (4)		u(4) 00·23 (4)
Cu(1)—Cu(2)—Cu(3)) 59.89 (4)	Cu(1)—Cu(4)—C	u(2) 59·94 (4)
Cu(1)-Cu(2)-Cu(4)	59.68 (4)	Cu(1)—Cu(4)—C	u(3) 60.07 (4)
O(2) $O(2)$ $O(4)$	50.74 (4)	Current Curren	u(3) 60.03 (4)
	,		
Cu(1) - O(1) - Cu(2)	108-5 (3)	Cu(2)—O(1)—Cu	(3) 112.1 (3)
$Cu(1) \rightarrow O(1) \rightarrow Cu(3)$	108.8 (3)	Cu(2)—O(1)—Cu	(4) 110.5 (3)
$O_{1}(1) = O(1) O_{1}(1)$	106.7 (3)	$\alpha \alpha - \alpha \dot{\alpha} - \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha}$	(4) 110.1 (3)
	1007 (3)		
Cl(1) - Cu(1) - Cl(2)	122.0 (1)	Cl(1) - Cu(3) - Cl	(3) 123-3 (1)
C(2) - Cu(1) - C(5)	120.0 (1)	Cl(3)—Cu(3)—Cl	(6) 118·5 (1)
$C(0) = C_{1}(1) = C(1)$	114.9 (1)		(1) 116.1 (1)
CI(2) - Cu(2) - CI(4)	115.6 (1)	CI(3)—Cu(4)—CI	(4) 115-4 (1)
Cl(4)— $Cu(2)$ — $Cl(6)$	122.4 (1)	Cl(4)—Cu(4)—Cl	(5) 123-0 (1)
ຕາເຄັ່ຕາເຊັ່າຕາເຊັ່າ	120.2 (1)	$C(5) - C_1(4) - C_1$	(3) 118-3 (1)
	1202(1)	$C(1)$ $C_1(2)$ N_1	(2) $05.5(2)$
$C(1) \rightarrow Cu(1) \rightarrow N(1)$	98.0 (3)	$CI(1) \rightarrow Cu(3) \rightarrow N$	(3) 95.5 (3)
Cl(2) - Cu(1) - N(1)	92·2 (3)	Cl(3)—Cu(3)—N	(3) 97-3 (3)
Cl(5)-Cu(1)-N(1)	97.6 (3)	Cl(6) - Cu(3) - Nc	(3) 91.4 (3)
$\alpha \omega - \alpha \omega - \alpha \omega$	83.0 (7)	$C(1) = C_1(3) = O(1)$	1) 86.6 (2)
CI(2) - Cu(1) - O(1)	83.4 (2)	$C_{1}(3) - C_{2}(3) - O_{1}(3)$	(1) 85.6 (2)
Cl(5)-Cu(1)-O(1)	84.6 (2)	Cl(6)—Cu(3)—O((1) 83.2 (2)
OUT CHUN NUT	175.6 (3)	O(1) - Cu(3) - N(3)	3) 174.6 (3)
	07.0 (3)		
$Ci(2) \rightarrow Cu(2) \rightarrow in(2)$	97.9 (3)	CI(3)—Cu(4)—IN	(4) 93.7 (3)
Cl(4) - Cu(2) - N(2)	93.9 (3)	Cl(4)—Cu(4)—N	(4) 95.0 (3)
Cl(6) - Cl(2) - N(2)	92.0 (3)	Cl(5) - Cu(4) - Nl	(4) 99.0 (3)
	86.2 (2)		1) 97.0 (2)
$C_{1(2)} - C_{1(2)} - O_{1(1)}$	80.3 (2)	$CI(3) \rightarrow CI(4) \rightarrow OI$	1) 83.0 (2)
Cl(4)—Cu(2)—O(1)	85.1 (2)	Cl(4)—Cu(4)—O((1) 83-1 (2)
Cl(0)-Cu(2)-O(1)	85.1 (2)	Cl(5)-Cu(4)-O	(1) 86.0 (2)
	175.7 (3)		4) 174.0 (2)
O(1) - O(2) - IN(2)	110 ((3)		-, 1/4.9 (3)
C(10) - N(1) - C(14)	117.4 (10)	C(30) - N(3) - C(3)	54) 117-5 (9)
C(10)-C(11)-C(15)	120.6 (10)	C(30)C(31)C	(35) 116-4 (10)
CUL-CUS-NO	114.4 (10)	COL-COS-N	(7) 110.3 (10)
U(13) - N(3) - U(18)	103.3 (11)	$C(33) \rightarrow N(7) \rightarrow C(1)$	56) 104·2 (10)
C(15)-N(5)-C(19)	113.4 (12)	C(35)—N(7)—C(3	39) 114-6 (10)
C(20)-N(2)-C(24)	119-8 (10)	C(40)-N(4)-C	44) 116-0 ໃຫ່
C(20) $C(21)$ $C(27)$	100 (10)		(45) 110 2 /100
(20) - (21) - (25)	122.9 (12)	U(40)U(41)U	(40) 0.811 (10)
C(21) - C(25) - N(6)	112.4 (12)	C(41)C(45)-N	(8) 109-2 (10)
C(25)-N(6)-C(28)	104.4 (12)	C(45)-N(8)-C(48) 103-1 (10)
C(26) $N(4)$ $C(20)$	116 2 (12)	C(45) 11(0) -C(
(23) - N(6) - C(29)	110.2 (12)	U(43)-N(8)-C(4	+>) 112-2(10)

119.1 (1)°. There is variation between the individual t.b.p.'s, with a parallelism in the distances associated with the pair Cu(1)—Cu(4) and with the pair Cu(2)—C(3), which accompany a reversal in orientation of the t.b.p.'s with respect to the *b* axis. Each Cu atom is within ± 0.25 Å of the mean equatorial plane of the corresponding t.b.p., and the O atom is within ± 0.02 Å of the three mean octahedral planes. The dihedral angles are within the ranges expected for a t.b.p. (Holmes, 1984; Haendler, 1989).

The estimated standard deviations of the ligand atoms are larger than for the atoms in the cluster; the atoms in the five-membered rings were the most difficult to locate. The four nicotine molecules exhi-



Fig. 1. Projection of an asymmetric unit of hexa- μ -chloro- μ_{4} -oxo-tetrakis[(nicotine)copper(II)] as viewed along the *b* axis.



Fig. 2. Thermal-ellipsoid plots of hexa- μ -chloro- μ_4 -oxo-tetrakis [(nicotine)copper(II)]. (a) The central Cu₄OCl₆N₄ cluster. (b) The nicotine ligand associated with Cu(1); plots of the other 3 ligands are similar.

bit the same configuration: that of the *trans* diastereomer.

The torsion angles for the sequences corresponding to C(10)—C(11)—C(15)—N(5) are 20.7, 32.9, 61.8 and 70.4°, respectively, indicating the angular rotation between the six- and five-membered rings of the four ligands. The relationship between ligand configuration and formation of complexes of this type would be an interesting topic for study.

The author wishes to thank Dr Nina Albanese-Kotar, who prepared the complex and grew the crystals; Professor Alan H. Cowley, who arranged for the data collection; and Dr Graheme J. B. Williams and Dr Ashfaq Syed for reduction of the data. He wishes to acknowledge receipt of a grant from the University of New Hampshire Research Office that made possible the use of the University Computer Center.

References

AHMED, F. R. (1973). NRC Crystallographic Programs. National Research Council of Canada, Ottawa, Canada.

BABAK, S. F. & KAGRAMANOVA, G. B. (1954). Zh. Obshch. Khim. 24, 1690–1693.

BERTRAND, J. A. (1967). Inorg. Chem. 6, 495-498.

- BERTRAND, J. A. & KELLEY, J. A. (1966). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 88, 4746–4747.
- BERTRAND, J. A. & KELLEY, J. A. (1969). Inorg. Chem. 8, 1982–1985.
- BEURSKENS, P. T., BOSMAN, W. P., DOESBURG, H. M., GOULD, R. O., VAN DEN HARK, TH. E. M., PRICK, P. A. J., NOORDIK, K. H., BEURSKENS, G., PARTHASARATHI, V., BRUINS SLOT, H. J., HALTIWANGER, R. C., STRUMPEL, M. & SMITS, J. M. M. (1985). *DIRDIF.* Tech. Report: 1984/1. Crystallography Laboratory, Toernooiveld, 6525 ED, Nijmegen, The Netherlands.
- GABE, E. J., LEE, F. L. & LE PAGE, Y. (1985). The NRCVAX Crystal Structure System. In Crystallographic Computing 3: Data Collection, Structure Determination, Proteins, and Databases, edited by G. M. SHELDRICK, C. KRÜGER & R. GODDARD, pp. 167-174. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- GILL, N. S. & STERNS, M. (1970). Inorg. Chem. 9, 1619-1625.
- HAENDLER, H. M. (1989). Acta Cryst. C45, 1691-1694.
- HOLMES, R. R. (1984). Prog. Inorg. Chem. 32, 119-235.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Vol. IV. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.)
- JOHNSON, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- KILBOURN, B. T. & DUNITZ, J. D. (1967). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 1, 209–216.
- NORMAN, R. E., ROSE, N. J. & STENKAMP, R. E. (1989). Acta Cryst. C45, 1707–1713.
- PRASAD, S. & SHARMA, P. D. (1958). J. Proc. Inst. Chemists (India), 30, 254–258.

Acta Cryst. (1990). C46, 2057-2062

Mononuclear and Binuclear Products from Bis(acetylacetonato)zinc and 1,4-Diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane (Dabco)

BY FRANK R. FRONCZEK, MICHAEL L. IVIE AND ANDREW W. MAVERICK*

Department of Chemistry, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803, USA

(Received 7 July 1989; accepted 13 February 1990)

Abstract. The structures of two products formed from $Zn(acac)_2$. H₂O (acacH = 2.4-pentanedione) and Dabco (1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane) are reported. In CH₂Cl₂, Dabco is chloroalkylated: trans-bis(1chloromethyl-4-aza-1-azoniabicyclo[2.2.2]octane-N4)bis(2,4-pentanedionato)zinc dichloride, [Zn(Dabco- $CH_2Cl_2(acac)_2Cl_2$, (I), $C_{24}H_{42}Cl_4N_4O_4Zn$, $M_r =$ 657.82, monoclinic, C2/m, a = 20.724 (3), b =11.405 (2), c = 6.595 (1) Å, $\beta = 104.78$ (1)°, V =1507·3 (9) Å³, $D_{\rm r} = 1.449,$ $D_m =$ Z = 2. 1.44 (2) Mg m⁻³. λ (Mo K α) = 0.71073 Å, $\mu =$ 1.23 mm^{-1} , F(000) = 688, T = 293 K, R = 0.039 for1619 data with $F_o^2 > 3\sigma(F_o^2)$ (R = 0.100 for 2722 data with $F_o^2 > 0$). The complex cation lies upon a 2/m site and consists of two N(CH₂CH₂)₃NCH₂Cl⁺

0108-2701/90/112057-06\$03.00

 $(DabcoCH_2Cl^+)$ and two acac⁻ ligands in a *trans* arrangement about Zn. The Zn-O and Zn-N distances are 2.042 (2) and 2.400 (2) Å respectively. The cationic DabcoCH₂Cl⁺ ligands in (I) appear to be produced by nucleophilic attack of Dabco on CH₂Cl₂. The reaction in benzene is more straightforward: μ -(1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane- N^1 , N^4)bis[bis(2,4-pentanedionato)zinc] benzene solvate $[{Zn(acac)_2}_2(\mu-Dabco)].C_6H_6, (II), C_{32}H_{46}N_2O_8Zn_2,$ $M_r = 717.50$, triclinic, $P\bar{1}$, a = 10.409 (2), b =11.327 (1), c = 7.6915 (6) Å, $\alpha = 94.072$ (8), $\beta =$ 99.830 (9), $\gamma = 102.83$ (1)°, V = 865.6 (4) Å³, Z = 1, $D_x = 1.376$, $D_m = 1.39$ (2) Mg m⁻³, λ (Mo K α) = $0.71073 \text{ Å}, \mu = 1.466 \text{ mm}^{-1}, F(000) = 376, T =$ 295 K, R = 0.034 for 3141 data with $F_o^2 > 3\sigma(F_o^2)$ (R = 0.087 for 5028 data with $F_o^2 > 0$). In the centrosymmetric [$\{Zn(acac)_2\}_2(\mu$ -Dabco)] units in (II)

© 1990 International Union of Crystallography

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed.